

| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9 | BOKHOUR LAW GROUP, P.C. Mehrdad Bokhour, Esq. (CA Bar No. 285256) mehrdad@bokhourlaw.com 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 450 Los Angeles, California 90067 Tel: (310) 975-1493; Fax: (310) 675-0861  FALAKASSA LAW, P.C. Joshua S. Falakassa, Esq. (CA Bar No. 295045) josh@falakassalaw.com 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 450 Los Angeles, California 90067 Tel: (818) 456-6168; Fax: (888) 505-0868 | SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT  AUG 2 1 2023  BY JESSICA MORALES, DEPUTY |
|---|---|---|
| 10  | Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class  |   |
| 11  | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA   |   |
|   | FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO  |   |
| 12  | ASHLEY MARIE CHILTON, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,   | CASE NO.: CIVSB2200827  |
| 13  |   | Assigned to the Hon. David Cohn, Dept. S-26   |
| 14  | Plaintiff,  | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING   |
| 15  | V.  | FINAL APPROVING OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND FINAL  |
| 16  | PACIFIC EYE INSTITUTE, A Medical Group,   | JUDGEMENT   |
| 17  | Inc., a California Corporation; UVP Management, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability   | HEARING INFO  |
| 18  | Company; and DOES 1-50, inclusive.  | Date: August 21, 2023   |
| 19  | Defendants.   | Time: 10:00 a.m.  |
| 20  |   | Dept.: S-26   |
| 21  |   | Preliminary Granted: March 24, 2023   |
| 22  |   |   |
| 23  |   |   |
| 24  |   |   |
| 25  |   |   |
| 26  |   |   |
| 27  |   |   |
| 28  |   |   |

This matter having come for hearing on August 21, 2023, regarding the unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement on the terms set forth in the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims (the "Settlement"). In conformity with California Rules of Court, rule 3.769, with due and adequate notice having been given to Class Members (as defined in the Settlement), and having considered the Settlement, all of the legal authorities and documents submitted in support thereof, all papers filed and proceedings had herein, all oral and written comments received regarding the Settlement, and having reviewed the record in this litigation, and good cause appearing, the Court **GRANTS** final approval of the Settlement and orders and makes the following findings and determinations and enters final judgment as follows:

- 1. All terms used in this order shall have the same meaning given as those terms are used and/or defined in the parties' Settlement Agreement and Plaintiff's Motion for Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement. A copy of the Settlement is attached to the Declaration of Mehrdad Bokhour in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement as **Exhibit B** and is made a part of this order.
- 2. The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Parties to this litigation and subject matter jurisdiction to approve this Settlement and all exhibits thereto.
- 3. For settlement purposes only, the Court finally certifies the Class, as defined in the Settlement and as follows: "all persons who performed work in any non-exempt position for Defendants in California at any time during the Class Period," which is the period from January 10, 2018, until November 7, 2022.
- 4. The Court deems this definition sufficient for the purpose of rule 3.765(a) of the California Rules of Court, and solely for the purpose of effectuating the Settlement.
- 5. The Court finds that an ascertainable class of 715 class members exists and a well-defined community of interest exists on the questions of law and fact involved because in the context of the Settlement: (i) all related matters, predominate over any individual questions; (ii) the claims of the Plaintiff is typical of claims of the Class Members; and (iii) in negotiating, entering into and implementing the Settlement, Plaintiff and Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interest of the Class Members.

6. The Court is satisfied that ILYM Group, Inc., which was appointed as the Settlement Administrator, completed the distribution of Class Notice to the Class in a manner that comports with California Rule of Court 3.766. The Class Notice informed 715 prospective Class Members of the Settlement terms, their rights under the settlement and receive their settlement share, their rights to submit a request for exclusion, their rights to comment on or object to the Settlement, and their rights to appear at the Final Approval and Fairness Hearing and be heard regarding approval of the Settlement. Sufficient period of time to respond and to act were provided by each of these procedures. No Class Members filed written objection to the Settlement as part of this notice process, and no Class Members filed a written statement of intention to appear at the Final Approval and Fairness Hearing, and only one individual submitted a request for exclusion. This individual will not be bound by the Settlement and will not receive any portion of the Net Settlement Amount.

- 7. The Court hereby approves the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that the Settlement Agreement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable, consistent, and compliant with all applicable requirements of the California Code of Civil Procedure, the California and United States Constitutions, including the Due Process clauses, the California Rules of Court, and any other applicable law, and in the best interests of each of the Parties and Class Members.
- 8. The Court directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement Agreement according to its terms and declares the Settlement Agreement to be binding on all 715 Participating Class Members.
- 9. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement has been reached as a result of informed and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations. The Court further finds that the Parties have conducted extensive investigation and research, and their attorneys were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions.
- 10. The Court also finds that the Settlement now will avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks of the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. Additionally, after considering the monetary recovery provided as part of the Settlement in light of the challenges posed by continued litigation, and Court concludes that Class Counsel secured significant relief for Class Members.

- 11. The Settlement Agreement is not an admission by Defendants, nor is this order a finding of the validity of any allegations or of any wrongdoing by Defendants.
- 12. The Court appoints Plaintiff Ashley Chilton as Class Representative and finds him to be adequate.
- 13. The Court appoints Joshua Falakassa of Falakassa Law, P.C. and Mehrdad Bokhour of Bokhour Law Group, P.C. as Class Counsel and finds each of them to be adequate, experienced, and well-versed in class action litigation.
- 14. The terms of the Settlement Agreement, including the Gross Settlement Amount of \$1,970,000.00 and the individual settlement shares, are fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class and to each Class Member, and the Courts grants final approval of the Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement, subject to this order.
- 15. The Court approves the following allocations, which fall within the ranges stipulated by and through the Settlement Agreement:
  - A. The Court awards \$10,000.00 to ILYM Group, Inc., the Settlement Administrator, and finds this amount to be fair and reasonable. The Court grants final approval of it and orders the Parties to make the payment to the Settlement Administrator in accordance with the Agreement.
  - B. The Court awards \$656,666.67 to Class Counsel as attorneys' fees and finds this amount to be fair and reasonable in light of the benefit obtained for the Class. The Court grants final approval of, awards, and orders the Class Counsel fees payment to be made in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
  - C. The Court awards \$10,627.25 in litigation costs, an amount which the Court finds to be reflective of the reasonable costs incurred. The Court grants final approval of and orders the Class Counsel litigation expenses payment in this amount to be made in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
  - D. The Court awards \$10,000.00 to the class representative as payment requested by Plaintiff and finds this amount to be fair and reasonable. The Court grants

final approval of and orders the class representative payment to be made in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

- E. The Court approves the \$25,000.00 allocation for penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, and orders 75% thereof (i.e., \$18,750.00) to be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the remainder to the Class.
- 16. The Court orders the Parties to comply with and carry out all terms and provisions of the Settlement, to the extent that the terms thereunder do not contradict with this Order, in which case the provisions of this order shall take precedence and supersede the Settlement.
- 17. Nothing in the Settlement or this order purports to extinguish or waive Defendant's rights to continue to oppose the merits of the claims in this Action or class treatment of these claims in this case if the Settlement fails to become final or effective, or in any other case without limitation.
- 18. All 715 Participating Class Members shall be bound by the Settlement and this order, including the release of claims as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 19. The Parties shall bear their own respective attorneys' fees and costs except as otherwise provided in this order and the Settlement Agreement.
- 20. All checks mailed to the Class Members must be cashed within one hundred and eighty (180) days after mailing. If a Class Member fails to cash his/her check by the deadline, then the Settlement Administrator shall submit such funds to the State of California's Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of the Class Member.
- 21. Within 10 days of this order, the Settlement Administrator shall give notice of judgment to Settlement Class Members pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.771(b) by posting a copy of this order and final judgment on its website.
- 22. The Court retains continuing jurisdiction over the Action and the Settlement, including jurisdiction pursuant to rule 3.769(h) of the California Rules of Court, solely for purposes of (a) enforcing the Settlement Agreement, (b) addressing settlement administration matters, and (c) addressing such post-judgment matters as may be appropriate under court rules or applicable law.

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT; AND ENTRY OF JUDGMEN